



2018 Legislative candidate survey

Candidate name:	Mark Hargrove	Legislative district:	47				
You are a candidate	e for: House of Representatives	s Senate					
If you are a candida which position are	ite for the House of Representati your running for?	ives, Position 1 Position 2					
Local government background Have you ever been elected or appointed to a local government position, Yes No or served on a local government board, committee, or as staff? Local Sovernments include cities, counties, public utility districts, school districts, fire protection districts, port districts, and more.							
If yes, in what capacity Just 4 terms as a Sta	<u> </u>						
increase fees on servi	nters fiscal problems, legislators of ices provided to cities to fill the stat	e's budget deficit. Recently, soi	me shared revenues				
	lowever, during the last recession, nd other local government cost driv	•					
 Changes in liquor supported essentia Sweeping and diversity from the nationally operating; and 	tax and profit distributions resulting al local services, such as public sat erting over \$1 billion in local utility t r-acclaimed Public Works Trust Fur pay training fees for officers attend	fety; taxes, real estate taxes, and prond (PWTF) that helps keep loca	oject loan repayments Il infrastructure				
locally-shared reversible projects in order to	oppose the Legislature continuing nues or revenues intended for cated balance the state's operating but or more actions that you would	apital					

We do not have a revenue problem. We have a spending problem. I will insist that funds collected are used for the purposes for which they were raised, not swept for excessive spending. There is a nexus between liquor consumption and local law enforcement. Utility taxes, real estate taxes should remain where they are collected. And law enforcement is part of public safety which should be one of our top priorities. This should be funded before anything else, and never be held hostage for a tax increase.

accounted for by your caucus and in a final budget.

2. Basic infrastructure fina

use their own property as they like.

Cities face many challenges when repairing and updating critical infrastructure such as drinking water and sewer systems. Historically, the Public Works Trust Fund (PWTF), a revolving loan fund, was a significant source for financing infrastructure. As the state wrestled first with a recession and then with the *McCleary* education funding challenges, legislators repeatedly turned to diverting these funds and leaving nothing in their place. Since 2013, nearly all of the tax revenues deposited into the PWTF were diverted to the state's education funding account instead. Those revenues were scheduled to come back to the PWTF in 2019, but the revenue diversions were extended another four years.

	•				
Would you support or opport from the PWTF to address			Support (Oppose ⊠	
Do you believe that it is pa fund critical local infrastru fees raised to do so in 198	cture, especially when taxe		_		
As a lawmaker, how will you raids?	ı work to secure the revital	ization of the PWT	F and prot	ect it from	future
With the extraordinary reven other purposes. I will press to			xcuse for ra	iding the P	WTF for
3. Homelessness, affor Across the state, housing cos well as exacerbating the alrea are stretched thin and cities (rethemselves increasingly trying strong desire to work together address these challenges. We	ts are rising and affordability dy critical homelessness pro not normally in the business of to help residents and keep with the state, counties, bus	issues are impacting blems in many com of providing these patheir communities states, nonprofit, and	munities. Marticular soc articular soc afe and sec d faith comi	lental healt cial services cure. Cities munities to	h services s) find have a
Which of the following are p ⊠ Help to end homelessne	•	all that apply.			
	al health services for those in	need			
	ntrol the spiraling cost of hou	using			
Would you support or opport or density decisions to pro				Support	Oppose
Please elaborate on what you in general, I believe local corrany other government interfer have more have been prevent that allow cities more freedom	ntrol is best. However, the Gerence have limited growth wated from allowing it. Lower	rowth Management hen it is necessary. supply means spiral	Act, Critica Cities who ling prices.	I Areas Ord know they I will look f	need to for ways

4. Economic development

Economic development opportunities vary greatly across the state. Some communities have deteriorating commercial or industrial areas or lack the needed infrastructure for critical development, and others lack access to adequate broadband services. AWC supports expansion of current programs and funding, including expansion of state Local Revitalization Financing (LRF) and Local Infrastructure Financing Tool (LIFT) programs as options to incentivize economic development and support job creation.

Would you support or oppose legislation that expands the financing Support Oppose options available to local governments for economic development?

What other ideas do you have for bolstering the state's economic development opportunities? We should lower the B&O tax rate for all manufacturing, not just aerospace. (We actually passed this, but the Governor vetoed it.) Modifications of our B&O tax system are needed so startup companies are not taxed until they actually make a profit.

5. Local control

Cities succeed when they can respond to local residents' unique needs and desired outcomes through exercising local control. The State Constitution and state statutes provide cities with wide discretion in serving their communities. However, the Legislature sometimes considers preempting cities from enacting local ordinances or engaging in certain activities. We believe that the relationship between the state and cities functions best as a partnership, where the state gives careful consideration to the varied conditions of local governments, and appreciates the importance of retaining local flexibility.

If you disagree that local control should be preserved, please describe one or more specific issue areas or situations in which the state should preempt local control.

If you agree that local control should be respected, please describe how you would argue for the protection of local control to colleagues who want to preempt local governments.

The people and entities closest to situations are generally the ones who understand them best and are able to make the best decisions. One size fits all solutions from the State are not tailored to unique situations. If the people don't like the decisions of their local leaders, they can elect new ones more easily than they can change the entire state government.

OR

If you have any questions, please contact Regina Adams, AWC Government Relations Coordinator, at 360-753-4137 or ReginaA@awcnet.org. Please return your survey by the end of the day on Wednesday, July 4 by:

- Email to ReginaA@awcnet.org;
- Fax to (360) 753-0149; or
- U.S. mail to AWC Candidate Survey, 1076 Franklin Street SE, Olympia, WA 98501-1346.

Thank you for your participation!