



# 2018 Legislative candidate survey

Candidate name:	Claus Joens	Legislative district:	
You are a candidate	for: House of Representatives	Senate ⊠	
If you are a candida which position are y	te for the House of Representative rour running for?	es, Position 1 Position 2	
or served on a local governments include	ent background elected or appointed to a local go government board, committee, or le cities, counties, public utility dis tion districts, port districts, and m	r as staff? Local 🔀 stricts, school	No
If yes, in what capacit Concrete Economic D			
4.001.1			
<ul> <li>increase fees on serve have been restored. It is unfunded mandates a items:</li> <li>Changes in liquor supported essentions.</li> <li>Sweeping and divergent from the nationally operating; and</li> </ul>	unters fiscal problems, legislators ofto ices provided to cities to fill the state However, during the last recession, the and other local government cost driver tax and profit distributions resulting all local services, such as public safe terting over \$1 billion in local utility tax y-acclaimed Public Works Trust Function pay training fees for officers attending	e's budget deficit. Recently, so he Legislature enacted cuts a ers remained unaddressed, in in losses of nearly \$200 millio ety; axes, real estate taxes, and prod (PWTF) that helps keep located.	me shared revenues nd diversions, while cluding the following n in funds that oject loan repayments al infrastructure
locally-shared rever	ppose the Legislature continuing nues or revenues intended for cap balance the state's operating bud	ital $\Box$	e

Briefly describe one or more actions that you would take to ensure your views on these issues are accounted for by your caucus and in a final budget.

I support a transparent budget...one that does not rob Peter to pay Paul...the state has made tax cuts that were unfunded...I expect the state to fund tax cuts before they make them with increased revenue instead of decreased services.

#### 2. Basic infrastructure financing

Cities face many challenges when repairing and updating critical infrastructure such as drinking water and sewer systems. Historically, the Public Works Trust Fund (PWTF), a revolving loan fund, was a significant source for financing infrastructure. As the state wrestled first with a recession and then with the *McCleary* education funding challenges, legislators repeatedly turned to diverting these funds and leaving nothing in their place. Since 2013, nearly all of the tax revenues deposited into the PWTF were diverted to the state's education funding account instead. Those revenues were scheduled to come back to the PWTF in 2019, but the revenue diversions were extended another four years.

Would you support or oppose a budget that diverted more resources			Oppose
from the PWTF to address state general fund obligations?		$\boxtimes$	
Do you believe that it is part of the state's obligation to help	Yes	No	
fund critical local infrastructure, especially when taxes and fees raised to do so in 1985 continue to be levied?	$\boxtimes$		

As a lawmaker, how will you work to secure the revitalization of the PWTF and protect it from future raids?

In the short run I have to support funding McCleary to satisfy a court mandate...that does not mean I agree with the decision and believe we should look to funding McCleary from new revenue streams such as closing tax loopholes or raising sales taxes to pay for the \$320 million per year Boeing tax cut, which was also unfunded and much of it was taken from public schools, in which case, the state should return as much as it can to the PWTF. We have to stop the shell game with funding state budgets.

### 3. Homelessness, affordable housing, and mental health

Across the state, housing costs are rising and affordability issues are impacting homebuyers and renters, as well as exacerbating the already critical homelessness problems in many communities. Mental health services are stretched thin and cities (not normally in the business of providing these particular social services) find themselves increasingly trying to help residents and keep their communities safe and secure. Cities have a strong desire to work together with the state, counties, business, nonprofit, and faith communities to help address these challenges. We continue to seek financing, regulatory, and funding tools to help.

Which of the following are priorities for you? Choose all that app	ly.
--	-----

	• •-			о ро	, <b>,</b>	
$\boxtimes$	Help	to end	homeless	ness		

Would you support or oppose a proposal for the state to override local zoning Support Oppose or density decisions to promote more affordability in housing construction?

Please elaborate on what you suggest doing to address one or more of these issues:

With legislation the devil is in the details and I would only oppose, or more accurately, not support it yet until I could have a look at what this realistically means to local communities in the 39<sup>th</sup> district.

#### 4. Economic development

Economic development opportunities vary greatly across the state. Some communities have deteriorating commercial or industrial areas or lack the needed infrastructure for critical development, and others lack access to adequate broadband services. AWC supports expansion of current programs and funding, including expansion of state Local Revitalization Financing (LRF) and Local Infrastructure Financing Tool (LIFT) programs as options to incentivize economic development and support job creation.

Would you support or oppose legislation that expands the financing Support Oppose options available to local governments for economic development?

What other ideas do you have for bolstering the state's economic development opportunities? I would like to see local business incubators with a formal structure linking them to the community college network across the state with broadband services for local residents to attend evening classes remotely.

#### 5. Local control

Cities succeed when they can respond to local residents' unique needs and desired outcomes through exercising local control. The State Constitution and state statutes provide cities with wide discretion in serving their communities. However, the Legislature sometimes considers preempting cities from enacting local ordinances or engaging in certain activities. We believe that the relationship between the state and cities functions best as a partnership, where the state gives careful consideration to the varied conditions of local governments, and appreciates the importance of retaining local flexibility.

Yes

X

OR

No

Do you believe that local control is important to ensuring responsive local government?

If you disagree that local control should be preserved, please describe one or more specific issue areas or situations in which the state should preempt local control.

If you agree that local control should be respected, please describe how you would argue for the protection of local control to colleagues who want to preempt local governments.

As a general rule I do support local control. However, some local residents can be scofflaws and try to build a community that does not reflect the laws or values enacted at the state level. As long as local control is not being used to circumvent state regulation, it should be permitted. Where local conditions provide a situation where state regulations are impractical or impose a hardship rather than an inconvenience, the state should grant local communities a local area exception to legitimate regulations where local communities legitimately cannot comply with them.

If you have any questions, please contact Regina Adams, AWC Government Relations Coordinator, at 360-753-4137 or <a href="ReginaA@awcnet.org">ReginaA@awcnet.org</a>. Please return your survey by the end of the day on Wednesday, July 4 by:

- Email to ReginaA@awcnet.org;
- Fax to (360) 753-0149; or
- U.S. mail to AWC Candidate Survey, 1076 Franklin Street SE, Olympia, WA 98501-1346.

## Thank you for your participation!