



# 2018 Legislative candidate survey

| Candidate name:  | Joe Fitzgibbon  | Legislative district:   | 34th   |  |  |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| You are a candidate  | e for: House of Representatives   | Senate  |  |  |  |
| If you are a candida which position are  | ite for the House of Representative your running for?   | s, Position 1 Position 2  |  |  |  |
| Local government background  Have you ever been elected or appointed to a local government position, or served on a local government board, committee, or as staff? Local governments include cities, counties, public utility districts, school districts, fire protection districts, port districts, and more. |   |   |  |  |  |
|  | y?<br>n Planning Commission from 2008 to<br>Shoreline Advisory Committee in 200   | •   | 2009 to 2010. I also   |  |  |
| <ul> <li>increase fees on servinave been restored. Funfunded mandates a items:</li> <li>Changes in liquor supported essenti</li> <li>Sweeping and divipoperating; and</li> <li>Requiring cities to</li> </ul> Do you support or olocally-shared reve   | inters fiscal problems, legislators ofter ces provided to cities to fill the state's lowever, during the last recession, the nd other local government cost driver tax and profit distributions resulting in al local services, such as public safety erting over \$1 billion in local utility tax y-acclaimed Public Works Trust Fund pay training fees for officers attending the propose the Legislature continuing nues or revenues intended for capi | s budget deficit. Recently, some Legislature enacted cuts and s remained unaddressed, includes losses of nearly \$200 million by; es, real estate taxes, and project (PWTF) that helps keep local g the Basic Law Enforcement by the support of the sup | le shared revenues didiversions, while uding the following in funds that ect loan repayments infrastructure  Academy (BLEA). |  |  |
|  | balance the state's operating budg<br>or more actions that you would tal  |   | hese issues are  |  |  |

I would prefer that these local revenue streams not be diverted. But we need local elected officials to help get state revenue increased in order to make up the shortfall that restoring these local revenue streams would create.

accounted for by your caucus and in a final budget.

### 2. Basic infrastructure financing

Cities face many challenges when repairing and updating critical infrastructure such as drinking water and sewer systems. Historically, the Public Works Trust Fund (PWTF), a revolving loan fund, was a significant source for financing infrastructure. As the state wrestled first with a recession and then with the *McCleary* education funding challenges, legislators repeatedly turned to diverting these funds and leaving nothing in their place. Since 2013, nearly all of the tax revenues deposited into the PWTF were diverted to the state's education funding account instead. Those revenues were scheduled to come back to the PWTF in 2019, but the revenue diversions were extended another four years.

| Would you support or oppose a budget that diverted more res<br>from the PWTF to address state general fund obligations?  | sources  | Support   | Oppose   |
|--|--|---|--|
| Do you believe that it is part of the state's obligation to help fund critical local infrastructure, especially when taxes and fees raised to do so in 1985 continue to be levied?   | Yes<br>⊠   | No  |  |
| As a lawmaker, how will you work to secure the revitalization or raids?  If it is necessary for us to use PWTF resources to balance the bud prefer that we not do that, and I do not think it is prudent for legisla opposition to a future final operating budget based on one issue all restored to local infrastructure purposes, but as above, understand order to meet current state obligations without using resources like | lget, I wi<br>ative can<br>lone. I ho<br>d that we | Il support it. Ididates to foope that PW Is need to gro | However I would<br>orm positions in<br>TF resources can be |

## 3. Homelessness, affordable housing, and mental health

Across the state, housing costs are rising and affordability issues are impacting homebuyers and renters, as well as exacerbating the already critical homelessness problems in many communities. Mental health services are stretched thin and cities (not normally in the business of providing these particular social services) find themselves increasingly trying to help residents and keep their communities safe and secure. Cities have a strong desire to work together with the state, counties, business, nonprofit, and faith communities to help address these challenges. We continue to seek financing, regulatory, and funding tools to help.

| Which  | of the | following ar | a nriaritias | for you? | Chanca all | that annly    |
|--------|--------|--------------|--------------|----------|------------|---------------|
| vvnich | or the | ronowing ar  | e briorities | ior vou? | Choose all | i that abbiv. |

| /vnic       | n of the following are priorities for you? Choose all that apply.   |           |        |
|-------------|---|-----------|--------|
| $\boxtimes$ | Help to end homelessness  |           |        |
| $\boxtimes$ | Ensure adequate mental health services for those in need  |           |        |
| $\boxtimes$ | Provide tools to help control the spiraling cost of housing   |           |        |
|             | Ild you support or oppose a proposal for the state to override local zoning ensity decisions to promote more affordability in housing construction? | Support 🖂 | Oppose |

#### Please elaborate on what you suggest doing to address one or more of these issues:

Some local governments have been irresponsible in their local zoning policies and have exacerbated the housing shortage in so doing, and I would support overriding their decisions in these cases. I also support other strategies such as improving environmental permitting and review laws such as SEPA so they do not form barriers to housing construction in cases where they are not improving environmental outcomes.

# 4. Economic development

Economic development opportunities vary greatly across the state. Some communities have deteriorating commercial or industrial areas or lack the needed infrastructure for critical development, and others lack access to adequate broadband services. AWC supports expansion of current programs and funding, including expansion of state Local Revitalization Financing (LRF) and Local Infrastructure Financing Tool (LIFT) programs as options to incentivize economic development and support job creation.

Would you support or oppose legislation that expands the financing Support Oppose options available to local governments for economic development? 

□

What other ideas do you have for bolstering the state's economic development opportunities?

I support increasing taxes on fossil fuels and using the revenues to address local infrastructure needs such as transportation and stormwater.

#### 5. Local control

Cities succeed when they can respond to local residents' unique needs and desired outcomes through exercising local control. The State Constitution and state statutes provide cities with wide discretion in serving their communities. However, the Legislature sometimes considers preempting cities from enacting local ordinances or engaging in certain activities. We believe that the relationship between the state and cities functions best as a partnership, where the state gives careful consideration to the varied conditions of local governments, and appreciates the importance of retaining local flexibility.

If you disagree that local control should be preserved, please describe one or more specific issue areas or situations in which the state should preempt local control.

If you agree that local control should be respected, please describe how you would argue for the protection of local control to colleagues who want to preempt local governments.

In some cases, local control makes more sense than others. When one local government's decision impacts people across the state, it makes sense for the state to have a role in that decision, for example when it comes to the protection of marine shorelines. Other local decisions, such as taxation, are places where I support greater local control.

OR

If you have any questions, please contact Regina Adams, AWC Government Relations Coordinator, at 360-753-4137 or <a href="ReginaA@awcnet.org">ReginaA@awcnet.org</a>. Please return your survey by the end of the day on Wednesday, July 4 by:

- Email to ReginaA@awcnet.org;
- Fax to (360) 753-0149; or
- U.S. mail to AWC Candidate Survey, 1076 Franklin Street SE, Olympia, WA 98501-1346.

# Thank you for your participation!