



2018 Legislative candidate survey

Candidate name:	Mia Su-Ling Gregerson	Legislative district:	33rd
You are a candidate	e for: House of Representatives	Senate	
If you are a candida which position are	ate for the House of Representativ your running for?	res, Position 1 Position 2	
or served on a loca governments inclu	ent background n elected or appointed to a local go I government board, committee, o de cities, counties, public utility de ction districts, port districts, and r	or as staff? Local	No
If yes, in what capacit SeaTac city council	y?		
increase fees on serv have been restored. H	inters fiscal problems, legislators often ices provided to cities to fill the state However, during the last recession, the	's budget deficit. Recently, sor he Legislature enacted cuts ar	ne shared revenues ad diversions, while
items:Changes in liquor supported essentiSweeping and div	tax and profit distributions resulting it all local services, such as public safe erting over \$1 billion in local utility ta y-acclaimed Public Works Trust Fund	in losses of nearly \$200 millior ety; xes, real estate taxes, and pro	in funds that
operating; andRequiring cities to	pay training fees for officers attendi	ng the Basic Law Enforcemen	t Academy (BLEA).
projects in order to	nues or revenues intended for cap balance the state's operating but or more actions that you would ta	pital Iget?	

accounted for by your caucus and in a final budget.

I have participated in many conversations around this issue. I have worked on opposing the sweeping of the PWTF before I was elected to the State of Representatives.

2. Basic infrastructure financing

would happen.

Cities face many challenges when repairing and updating critical infrastructure such as drinking water and sewer systems. Historically, the Public Works Trust Fund (PWTF), a revolving loan fund, was a significant source for financing infrastructure. As the state wrestled first with a recession and then with the *McCleary* education funding challenges, legislators repeatedly turned to diverting these funds and leaving nothing in their place. Since 2013, nearly all of the tax revenues deposited into the PWTF were diverted to the state's education funding account instead. Those revenues were scheduled to come back to the PWTF in 2019, but the revenue diversions were extended another four years.

Would you support or oppose a budget that diverted more resources Support Oppose from the PWTF to address state general fund obligations? □ □			
Do you believe that it is part of the state's obligation to help fund critical local infrastructure, especially when taxes and fees raised to do so in 1985 continue to be levied?			
As a lawmaker, how will you work to secure the revitalization of the PWTF and protect it from future raids?			
Yes. I have been working with the Capital budget team on this issue. Continue to educate my colleagues about the horrific impacts of sweeping this fund and this is a great use of tax dollars.			
3. Homelessness, affordable housing, and mental health Across the state, housing costs are rising and affordability issues are impacting homebuyers and renters, as well as exacerbating the already critical homelessness problems in many communities. Mental health service are stretched thin and cities (not normally in the business of providing these particular social services) find themselves increasingly trying to help residents and keep their communities safe and secure. Cities have a strong desire to work together with the state, counties, business, nonprofit, and faith communities to help address these challenges. We continue to seek financing, regulatory, and funding tools to help.			
Which of the following are priorities for you? Choose all that apply.			
Help to end homelessnessEnsure adequate mental health services for those in need			
 ☑ Provide tools to help control the spiraling cost of housing 			
Would you support or oppose a proposal for the state to override local zoning or density decisions to promote more affordability in housing construction?			
Please elaborate on what you suggest doing to address one or more of these issues:			

4. Economic development

Economic development opportunities vary greatly across the state. Some communities have deteriorating commercial or industrial areas or lack the needed infrastructure for critical development, and others lack access to adequate broadband services. AWC supports expansion of current programs and funding, including expansion of state Local Revitalization Financing (LRF) and Local Infrastructure Financing Tool (LIFT) programs as options to incentivize economic development and support job creation.

Would you support or oppose legislation that expands the financing Support Oppose options available to local governments for economic development?

What other ideas do you have for bolstering the state's economic development opportunities?

One idea is more founding for the Downtown revitalization and business support – especially in small and rural communities. More partnerships with local colleges and universities to make sure rural economic development growth happens in those channels.

5. Local control

Cities succeed when they can respond to local residents' unique needs and desired outcomes through exercising local control. The State Constitution and state statutes provide cities with wide discretion in serving their communities. However, the Legislature sometimes considers preempting cities from enacting local ordinances or engaging in certain activities. We believe that the relationship between the state and cities functions best as a partnership, where the state gives careful consideration to the varied conditions of local governments, and appreciates the importance of retaining local flexibility.

If you disagree that local control should be preserved, please describe one or more specific issue areas or situations in which the state should preempt local control.

If you agree that local control should be respected, please describe how you would argue for the protection of local control to colleagues who want to preempt local governments.

I believe that local control is a way that elected officials closest to the community can work efficiently and quickly on needs that they see arise. The state is a part time legislation that may have to wait a year before decisions can be made to accommodate a local or regional issue. Partnerships on issues is the best solution but I support transparent equitable local control.

OR

If you have any questions, please contact Regina Adams, AWC Government Relations Coordinator, at 360-753-4137 or ReginaA@awcnet.org. Please return your survey by the end of the day on Wednesday, July 4 by:

- Email to ReginaA@awcnet.org;
- Fax to (360) 753-0149; or
- U.S. mail to AWC Candidate Survey, 1076 Franklin Street SE, Olympia, WA 98501-1346.

Thank you for your participation!