



# 2018 Legislative candidate survey

Candidate name:	William "Bill" Jenkin	Legislative district:	16	
You are a candidate	e for: House of Representatives	s Senate		
If you are a candida which position are	ite for the House of Representati your running for?	ives, Position 1 Position 2		
or served on a loca governments include	ent background a elected or appointed to a local of government board, committee, de cities, counties, public utility of tion districts, and	or as staff? Local ⊠ districts, school	No	
If yes, in what capacit I have previously ser	y? ved on the school board in Prossel	r and as the school board presid	lent.	
	local revenues Inters fiscal problems, legislators of			
have been restored. H	lowever, during the last recession, nd other local government cost drive	the Legislature enacted cuts an	d diversions, while	
<ul><li>Changes in liquor supported essentia</li><li>Sweeping and diversity</li></ul>	tax and profit distributions resulting al local services, such as public sa erting over \$1 billion in local utility t r-acclaimed Public Works Trust Fu	fety; taxes, real estate taxes, and pro	ject loan repayments	
Requiring cities to	pay training fees for officers attended		Academy (BLEA).	
locally-shared reve	oppose the Legislature continuir nues or revenues intended for ca balance the state's operating bu	apital $\square$	е	
Briefly describe one or more actions that you would take to ensure your views on these issues are accounted for by your caucus and in a final budget.				

I would be a vocal advocate for empowering local decision-making as I have in the past. I am very comfortable discussing my views with colleagues across the aisle and in my caucus. I will vote to preserve local control.

## 2. Basic infrastructure financing

Cities face many challenges when repairing and updating critical infrastructure such as drinking water and sewer systems. Historically, the Public Works Trust Fund (PWTF), a revolving loan fund, was a significant source for financing infrastructure. As the state wrestled first with a recession and then with the *McCleary* education funding challenges, legislators repeatedly turned to diverting these funds and leaving nothing in their place. Since 2013, nearly all of the tax revenues deposited into the PWTF were diverted to the state's education funding account instead. Those revenues were scheduled to come back to the PWTF in 2019, but the revenue diversions were extended another four years.

Would you support or oppose a budget that diverted more resources from the PWTF to address state general fund obligations?	Oppose ⊠			
Do you believe that it is part of the state's obligation to help fund critical local infrastructure, especially when taxes and fees raised to do so in 1985 continue to be levied?				
As a lawmaker, how will you work to secure the revitalization of the PWTF and praids?  I will support legislation, leadership decisions and vote to protect the PWTF.	rotect it fron	n future		
3. Homelessness, affordable housing, and mental health Across the state, housing costs are rising and affordability issues are impacting homebuyers and renters, as well as exacerbating the already critical homelessness problems in many communities. Mental health services are stretched thin and cities (not normally in the business of providing these particular social services) find themselves increasingly trying to help residents and keep their communities safe and secure. Cities have a strong desire to work together with the state, counties, business, nonprofit, and faith communities to help address these challenges. We continue to seek financing, regulatory, and funding tools to help.				
Which of the following are priorities for you? Choose all that apply.  ☑ Help to end homelessness				
Would you support or oppose a proposal for the state to override local zoning or density decisions to promote more affordability in housing construction?	Support	Oppose		

#### Please elaborate on what you suggest doing to address one or more of these issues:

I believe that all of the above priorities are connected. The state should provide guidance, support and a cohesive strategy that empowers local communities to implement solutions that make sense for them. There must be accountability and policies at the state level that promote innovation.

## 4. Economic development

Economic development opportunities vary greatly across the state. Some communities have deteriorating commercial or industrial areas or lack the needed infrastructure for critical development, and others lack access to adequate broadband services. AWC supports expansion of current programs and funding, including expansion of state Local Revitalization Financing (LRF) and Local Infrastructure Financing Tool (LIFT) programs as options to incentivize economic development and support job creation.

Would you support or oppose legislation that expands the financing Support Oppose options available to local governments for economic development?

What other ideas do you have for bolstering the state's economic development opportunities? Representing a rural community, I understand that local resources can be scarce. I support reducing the state B&O tax to support entrepreneurs. We need to take a hard look at overregulation by some state agencies allow small businesses to thrive and not be bogged down with regulatory compliance. The needs targeted investments and tools for small and rural communities such as the Main Street Tax Credit and CERB.

#### 5. Local control

Cities succeed when they can respond to local residents' unique needs and desired outcomes through exercising local control. The State Constitution and state statutes provide cities with wide discretion in serving their communities. However, the Legislature sometimes considers preempting cities from enacting local ordinances or engaging in certain activities. We believe that the relationship between the state and cities functions best as a partnership, where the state gives careful consideration to the varied conditions of local governments, and appreciates the importance of retaining local flexibility.

Do you believe that local control is important to ensuring responsive local government?

Yes No ⊠ □

If you disagree that local control should be preserved, please describe one or more specific issue areas or situations in which the state should preempt local control.

If you agree that local control should be respected, please describe how you would OR argue for the protection of local control to colleagues who want to preempt local governments.

I also believe that state and local governance is a partnership. However there is some benefit to state action to create a uniform and fair climate for business and consumers. I will continue to be a staunch advocate for local decision-making. In conversations with my colleagues about local control, we need to ask is this best solved at the state level? If so, are we providing resources to implement? Our cities can't afford more unfunded mandates.

If you have any questions, please contact Regina Adams, AWC Government Relations Coordinator, at 360-753-4137 or <a href="ReginaA@awcnet.org">ReginaA@awcnet.org</a>. Please return your survey by the end of the day on Wednesday, July 4 by:

- Email to <a href="mailto:ReginaA@awcnet.org">ReginaA@awcnet.org</a>;
- Fax to (360) 753-0149; or
- U.S. mail to AWC Candidate Survey, 1076 Franklin Street SE, Olympia, WA 98501-1346.

# Thank you for your participation!