



# 2018 Legislative candidate survey

on 1 Position 2	
oosition, Yes Local ⊠	No
and committees. Ciss (Community Day erview committee	s, Home & Garden
e enacted cuts and unaddressed, incl nearly \$200 million ate taxes, and proje	e shared revenues d diversions, while uding the following in funds that ect loan repayments
Law Enforcement	
Support Oppose	
	Dosition, Yes Docal Dool  and committees. Cires (Community Day Perview committee  Thues historically she ficit. Recently, some enacted cuts and unaddressed, included the law Enforcement Dopose Docal Dopose Docal Dopose Docal Dopose Docal Docal Docal Dopose Docal Docal Docal Docal Dopose Docal

I repeatedly oppose unfunded mandates. The action I do take, and would continue to take are arguing in committee testimony, in caucus and on the house floor to not balance our state budget on the backs of local government. State mandates on local government need to attach state dollars to adequately fund them. I also reach out for guidance and input from local government officials throughout session via text, email or calls.

accounted for by your caucus and in a final budget.

### 2. Basic infrastructure financing

Cities face many challenges when repairing and updating critical infrastructure such as drinking water and sewer systems. Historically, the Public Works Trust Fund (PWTF), a revolving loan fund, was a significant source for financing infrastructure. As the state wrestled first with a recession and then with the *McCleary* education funding challenges, legislators repeatedly turned to diverting these funds and leaving nothing in their place. Since 2013, nearly all of the tax revenues deposited into the PWTF were diverted to the state's education funding account instead. Those revenues were scheduled to come back to the PWTF in 2019, but the revenue diversions were extended another four years.

Would you support or oppose a budget that diverted more resources Support from the PWTF to address state general fund obligations?	Oppose	
Do you believe that it is part of the state's obligation to help fund critical local infrastructure, especially when taxes and fees raised to do so in 1985 continue to be levied?		
As a lawmaker, how will you work to secure the revitalization of the PWTF and praids?  Yes, I believe the state should be obligated to help fund critical local infrastructure who		ı future
3. Homelessness, affordable housing, and mental health		
Across the state, housing costs are rising and affordability issues are impacting homeb well as exacerbating the already critical homelessness problems in many communities, are stretched thin and cities (not normally in the business of providing these particular sthemselves increasingly trying to help residents and keep their communities safe and strong desire to work together with the state, counties, business, nonprofit, and faith coaddress these challenges. We continue to seek financing, regulatory, and funding tools	. Mental healt social service secure. Cities ommunities to	th services es) find have a
Which of the following are priorities for you? Choose all that apply.		
Ensure adequate mental health services for those in need		
□ Provide tools to help control the spiraling cost of housing		
Would you support or oppose a proposal for the state to override local zoning or density decisions to promote more affordability in housing construction?	Support	Oppose

### Please elaborate on what you suggest doing to address one or more of these issues:

My voting record and requests for capital budget dollars shows ALL of the issues are priorities. As the Ranking, and also Assistant Ranking Minority Community Development, Housing and Tribal Affairs Committee leader over the past few years, I have sponsored and supported Legislation in these areas. I will continue to do so.

I consult my local government officials for guidance in local zoning expansions and/or density decisions.

### 4. Economic development

Economic development opportunities vary greatly across the state. Some communities have deteriorating commercial or industrial areas or lack the needed infrastructure for critical development, and others lack access to adequate broadband services. AWC supports expansion of current programs and funding, including expansion of state Local Revitalization Financing (LRF) and Local Infrastructure Financing Tool (LIFT) programs as options to incentivize economic development and support job creation.

Would you support or oppose legislation that expands the financing Support Oppose options available to local governments for economic development?

#### What other ideas do you have for bolstering the state's economic development opportunities?

Absolutely, I support any and all reasonable economic development legislation. My family grew up on "Economic development". We have owned and operated business' since the 1970's, including a hotel, gas station, RV park, convenience store, restaurant, performing arts studio for kids ... communities are only successful and sustainable in if we grow through business.

I founded the first Washington State Business Caucus years ago. We fight and sponsor pro-business and economic development legislation.

I currently have bills being drafted that will help our communities thrive for next session to pre-file in December 2018.

#### 5. Local control

Cities succeed when they can respond to local residents' unique needs and desired outcomes through exercising local control. The State Constitution and state statutes provide cities with wide discretion in serving their communities. However, the Legislature sometimes considers preempting cities from enacting local ordinances or engaging in certain activities. We believe that the relationship between the state and cities functions best as a partnership, where the state gives careful consideration to the varied conditions of local governments, and appreciates the importance of retaining local flexibility.

No

Do you believe that local control is important Yes to ensuring responsive local government?

If you disagree that local control should be preserved, please describe one or more specific issue areas or situations in which the state should preempt local control.

If you agree that local control should be respected, please describe how you would argue for the protection of local control to colleagues who want to preempt local governments.

Yes, Local control should be the priority to reflect the unique characteristics and need of its citizens across our state.

OR

An example of an instance where this was questioned was during paid family leave and equal pay negotiations. Another challenging area is minimum wage variance across cities?

The balance between uniformity across our state and respecting local authority is often a difficult negotiation issue. This is where I look to my district local officials for advice on each specific policy issue.

If you have any questions, please contact Regina Adams, AWC Government Relations Coordinator, at 360-753-4137 or <a href="ReginaA@awcnet.org">ReginaA@awcnet.org</a>. Please return your survey by the end of the day on Wednesday, July 4 by:

- Email to ReginaA@awcnet.org;
- Fax to (360) 753-0149; or
- U.S. mail to AWC Candidate Survey, 1076 Franklin Street SE, Olympia, WA 98501-1346.

## Thank you for your participation!