



2018 Legislative candidate survey

Candidate name:	Tom Dent	Legislative district:	LD 13
You are a candidate	e for: House of Representatives	Senate	
If you are a candida which position are	ate for the House of Representative your running for?	es, Position 1 Position 2 ⊠ □	
or served on a loca governments include	ent background n elected or appointed to a local go I government board, committee, o de cities, counties, public utility di ction districts, port districts, and n	or as staff? Local istricts, school	No
If yes, in what capacit Airport Commissione			
increase fees on servi have been restored. H	local revenues Inters fiscal problems, legislators often ices provided to cities to fill the state However, during the last recession, the ind other local government cost drive	's budget deficit. Recently, so ne Legislature enacted cuts ar	me shared revenues and diversions, while
 Changes in liquor supported essentia Sweeping and divergent from the nationally operating; and 	tax and profit distributions resulting in all local services, such as public safe erting over \$1 billion in local utility taxy-acclaimed Public Works Trust Fundant pay training fees for officers attending	ety; xes, real estate taxes, and prod d (PWTF) that helps keep loca	oject loan repayments Il infrastructure
locally-shared reve projects in order to	oppose the Legislature continuing nues or revenues intended for cap balance the state's operating but or more actions that you would ta	oital	
Directly describe one	or more actions that you would to	are to elipule your views off	nicse issues ale

accounted for by your caucus and in a final budget.

I have and will continue to push to fund the mandates the legislature puts on the local governments. The majorities in the house have stopped this needed reform. I will continue to push for this to change.

2.	Basic	infrast	tructure	finan	cina

Cities face many challenges when repairing and updating critical infrastructure such as drinking water and sewer systems. Historically, the Public Works Trust Fund (PWTF), a revolving loan fund, was a significant source for financing infrastructure. As the state wrestled first with a recession and then with the McCleary education funding challenges, legislators repeatedly turned to diverting these funds and leaving nothing in their place. Since 2013, nearly all of the tax revenues deposited into the PWTF were diverted to the state's education funding account instead. Those revenues were scheduled to come back to the PWTF in 2019, but the revenue diversions were extended another four years.

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Would you support or oppose a budget that diverted more resources Support Oppose from the PWTF to address state general fund obligations? □ □
Do you believe that it is part of the state's obligation to help Yes No fund critical local infrastructure, especially when taxes and fees raised to do so in 1985 continue to be levied?
As a lawmaker, how will you work to secure the revitalization of the PWTF and protect it from future raids? This will depend on the majority party after the election
3. Homelessness, affordable housing, and mental health Across the state, housing costs are rising and affordability issues are impacting homebuyers and renters, as well as exacerbating the already critical homelessness problems in many communities. Mental health service are stretched thin and cities (not normally in the business of providing these particular social services) find themselves increasingly trying to help residents and keep their communities safe and secure. Cities have a strong desire to work together with the state, counties, business, nonprofit, and faith communities to help address these challenges. We continue to seek financing, regulatory, and funding tools to help.
Which of the following are priorities for you? Choose all that apply. ☐ Help to end homelessness ☐ Ensure adequate mental health services for those in need
Provide tools to help control the spiraling cost of housing
Would you support or oppose a proposal for the state to override local zoning or density decisions to promote more affordability in housing construction?
Please elaborate on what you suggest doing to address one or more of these issues:

Please elaborate on what you suggest doing to address one or more of these issues:

To support or oppose the above could only be considered on a case by case basis. To make a blanket statement could lead to unintended consequences.

4. Economic development

Economic development opportunities vary greatly across the state. Some communities have deteriorating commercial or industrial areas or lack the needed infrastructure for critical development, and others lack access to adequate broadband services. AWC supports expansion of current programs and funding, including expansion of state Local Revitalization Financing (LRF) and Local Infrastructure Financing Tool (LIFT) programs as options to incentivize economic development and support job creation.

Would you support or oppose legislation that expands the financing Support Oppose options available to local governments for economic development?

What other ideas do you have for bolstering the state's economic development opportunities? Expand tax incentives, low interest loan funds, reduced permit fees and requirements.

5. Local control

Cities succeed when they can respond to local residents' unique needs and desired outcomes through exercising local control. The State Constitution and state statutes provide cities with wide discretion in serving their communities. However, the Legislature sometimes considers preempting cities from enacting local ordinances or engaging in certain activities. We believe that the relationship between the state and cities functions best as a partnership, where the state gives careful consideration to the varied conditions of local governments, and appreciates the importance of retaining local flexibility.

Do you believe that local control is important to ensuring responsive local government?

Yes No □

OR

If you disagree that local control should be preserved, please describe one or more specific issue areas or situations in which the state should preempt local control.

Enter text here

If you agree that local control should be respected, please describe how you would argue for the protection of local control to colleagues who want to preempt local governments.

If you have any questions, please contact Regina Adams, AWC Government Relations Coordinator, at 360-753-4137 or ReginaA@awcnet.org. Please return your survey by the end of the day on Wednesday, July 4 by:

- Email to ReginaA@awcnet.org;
- Fax to (360) 753-0149; or
- U.S. mail to AWC Candidate Survey, 1076 Franklin Street SE, Olympia, WA 98501-1346.

Thank you for your participation!