



2018 Legislative candidate survey

| Candidate name: | Judy Warnick | Legislative district: | 13 |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| You are a candidate | e for: House of Representatives | Senate | |
| If you are a candida which position are | ate for the House of Representations of of Represen | ves, Position 1 Position 2 | |
| or served on a loca governments include | ent background n elected or appointed to a local of l government board, committee, de cities, counties, public utility of ction districts, port districts, and | or as staff? Local | No ⊠ |
| If yes, in what capacit Enter text here | y? | | |
| increase fees on service have been restored. For unfunded mandates at items: Changes in liquor supported essentions. Sweeping and diverging from the nationally operating; and Requiring cities to the properties of the properties. | Inters fiscal problems, legislators of ices provided to cities to fill the state dowever, during the last recession, and other local government cost drivitax and profit distributions resulting al local services, such as public saferting over \$1 billion in local utility to reacclaimed Public Works Trust Furpay training fees for officers attending the propose the Legislature continuing nues or revenues intended for care | e's budget deficit. Recently, son the Legislature enacted cuts an vers remained unaddressed, inc in losses of nearly \$200 million ety; axes, real estate taxes, and pro- nd (PWTF) that helps keep local ling the Basic Law Enforcement g to use Support Oppose | ne shared revenues d diversions, while luding the following in funds that ject loan repayments infrastructure Academy (BLEA). |
| projects in order to | balance the state's operating but or more actions that you would to | dget? | these issues are |

I have supported PWTF reform and other bills that would return funds to local governments as originally

intended and will continue to do so both in caucus discussions and during budget negotiations.

accounted for by your caucus and in a final budget.

2. Basic infrastructure financing

Cities face many challenges when repairing and updating critical infrastructure such as drinking water and sewer systems. Historically, the Public Works Trust Fund (PWTF), a revolving loan fund, was a significant source for financing infrastructure. As the state wrestled first with a recession and then with the *McCleary* education funding challenges, legislators repeatedly turned to diverting these funds and leaving nothing in their place. Since 2013, nearly all of the tax revenues deposited into the PWTF were diverted to the state's education funding account instead. Those revenues were scheduled to come back to the PWTF in 2019, but the revenue diversions were extended another four years.

| Would you support or oppose a budget that diverted more resources Support Oppose from the PWTF to address state general fund obligations? |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Do you believe that it is part of the state's obligation to help fund critical local infrastructure, especially when taxes and fees raised to do so in 1985 continue to be levied? |
| As a lawmaker, how will you work to secure the revitalization of the PWTF and protect it from future raids? I have been meeting with the PW Board members to craft potential legislation to reform the PWTF and protect the funds garnered through loan repayments and other fund sources |
| 3. Homelessness, affordable housing, and mental health |
| Across the state, housing costs are rising and affordability issues are impacting homebuyers and renters, as well as exacerbating the already critical homelessness problems in many communities. Mental health service are stretched thin and cities (not normally in the business of providing these particular social services) find themselves increasingly trying to help residents and keep their communities safe and secure. Cities have a strong desire to work together with the state, counties, business, nonprofit, and faith communities to help address these challenges. We continue to seek financing, regulatory, and funding tools to help. |
| Which of the following are priorities for you? Choose all that apply. |
| Help to end homelessness |
| |
| ☐ Provide tools to help control the spiraling cost of housing |
| Would you support or oppose a proposal for the state to override local zoning Support Oppose or density decisions to promote more affordability in housing construction? |

Please elaborate on what you suggest doing to address one or more of these issues:

I cannot comment on a state override of local decisions until I read the proposed legislation.

I am a member of the North Central Accountable Communities of Health board that is charged with the coordination of healthcare including physical, mental and other factors affecting health such as homelessness. Working the state Healthcare Agency will give me and the other board members the opportunity to craft future legislation and policy for all-inclusive healthcare and homeless issues. I also believe including faith-based communities is important for local governments and state agencies to include in future policy decisions.

4. Economic development

Economic development opportunities vary greatly across the state. Some communities have deteriorating commercial or industrial areas or lack the needed infrastructure for critical development, and others lack access to adequate broadband services. AWC supports expansion of current programs and funding, including expansion of state Local Revitalization Financing (LRF) and Local Infrastructure Financing Tool (LIFT) programs as options to incentivize economic development and support job creation.

Would you support or oppose legislation that expands the financing Support Oppose options available to local governments for economic development?

What other ideas do you have for bolstering the state's economic development opportunities?

As a member of the CERB Board, under the Department of Commerce, I will continue to work for increased funding for infrastructure projects.

5. Local control

Cities succeed when they can respond to local residents' unique needs and desired outcomes through exercising local control. The State Constitution and state statutes provide cities with wide discretion in serving their communities. However, the Legislature sometimes considers preempting cities from enacting local ordinances or engaging in certain activities. We believe that the relationship between the state and cities functions best as a partnership, where the state gives careful consideration to the varied conditions of local governments, and appreciates the importance of retaining local flexibility.

Do you believe that local control is important Yes No to ensuring responsive local government? \square

If you disagree that local control should be preserved, please describe one or more specific issue areas or situations in which the state should preempt local control.

If you agree that local control should be respected, please describe how you would argue for the protection of local control to colleagues who want to preempt local governments.

Although there is great concern regarding some decisions made by the Seattle City Council, I still believe local control is best. The locally elected officials such as city council members should be held accountable to their voters, not the legislature.

OR

If you have any questions, please contact Regina Adams, AWC Government Relations Coordinator, at 360-753-4137 or ReginaA@awcnet.org. Please return your survey by the end of the day on Wednesday, July 4 by:

- Email to ReginaA@awcnet.org;
- Fax to (360) 753-0149; or
- U.S. mail to AWC Candidate Survey, 1076 Franklin Street SE, Olympia, WA 98501-1346.

Thank you for your participation!