



# 2018 Legislative candidate survey

Candidate name:	Chad Magendanz	Legislative district:	5
You are a candidate	e for: House of Representatives	Senate	
If you are a candidate for the House of Representatives, Position 1 Position 2 which position are your running for?			
or served on a loca governments include	nt background a elected or appointed to a local of government board, committee, de cities, counties, public utility of tion districts, and	or as staff? Local	No
If yes, in what capacity Issaquah School Boa Committee in 2009 &	ard from 2008-13, serving as Presid	dent from 2011-12, elected to W	/SSDA Legislative
<ul> <li>increase fees on servithave been restored. Funfunded mandates a items:</li> <li>Changes in liquor supported essentians.</li> <li>Sweeping and diverging the nationally operating; and</li> </ul>	nters fiscal problems, legislators of ces provided to cities to fill the state lowever, during the last recession, and other local government cost drivitax and profit distributions resulting al local services, such as public saferting over \$1 billion in local utility to reacclaimed Public Works Trust Fur	e's budget deficit. Recently, sor the Legislature enacted cuts ar vers remained unaddressed, inc in losses of nearly \$200 million fety; axes, real estate taxes, and pro and (PWTF) that helps keep loca	me shared revenues and diversions, while cluding the following in funds that oject loan repayments I infrastructure
Do you support or o	oppose the Legislature continuin nues or revenues intended for ca balance the state's operating bu	ng to use Support Oppos apital	
Briefly describe one or more actions that you would take to ensure your views on these issues are accounted for by your caucus and in a final budget.			

I've served in both caucus leadership and on the House Appropriations Committee. I will have a voice in the debate when these budget gimmicks are considered and will continue to openly oppose them.

## 2. Basic infrastructure financing

Cities face many challenges when repairing and updating critical infrastructure such as drinking water and sewer systems. Historically, the Public Works Trust Fund (PWTF), a revolving loan fund, was a significant source for financing infrastructure. As the state wrestled first with a recession and then with the *McCleary* education funding challenges, legislators repeatedly turned to diverting these funds and leaving nothing in their place. Since 2013, nearly all of the tax revenues deposited into the PWTF were diverted to the state's education funding account instead. Those revenues were scheduled to come back to the PWTF in 2019, but the revenue diversions were extended another four years.

#### Please elaborate on what you suggest doing to address one or more of these issues:

I believe in local control for zoning but will fight to ensure that we break down barriers to housing development at the state level. In order to bring down the cost of housing in this era of economic growth, we must increase the supply.

## 4. Economic development

Economic development opportunities vary greatly across the state. Some communities have deteriorating commercial or industrial areas or lack the needed infrastructure for critical development, and others lack access to adequate broadband services. AWC supports expansion of current programs and funding, including expansion of state Local Revitalization Financing (LRF) and Local Infrastructure Financing Tool (LIFT) programs as options to incentivize economic development and support job creation.

Would you support or oppose legislation that expands the financing Support Oppose options available to local governments for economic development?

What other ideas do you have for bolstering the state's economic development opportunities? In more rural areas where we have ready access to cheap, green power from hydroelectric or other renewable sources, we should provide clear incentives for manufacturing and industrial growth.

#### 5. Local control

Cities succeed when they can respond to local residents' unique needs and desired outcomes through exercising local control. The State Constitution and state statutes provide cities with wide discretion in serving their communities. However, the Legislature sometimes considers preempting cities from enacting local ordinances or engaging in certain activities. We believe that the relationship between the state and cities functions best as a partnership, where the state gives careful consideration to the varied conditions of local governments and appreciates the importance of retaining local flexibility.

If you disagree that local control should be preserved, please describe one or more specific issue areas or situations in which the state should preempt local control.

If you agree that local control should be respected, please describe how you would argue for the protection of local control to colleagues who want to preempt local governments.

Local control should be respected, but that shouldn't be construed as allowing municipalities to enact taxes, fees or regulations that clearly exceed their statutory authority. (e.g. Seattle income tax, school districts exceeding local levy caps)

OR

If you have any questions, please contact Regina Adams, AWC Government Relations Coordinator, at 360-753-4137 or <a href="ReginaA@awcnet.org">ReginaA@awcnet.org</a>. Please return your survey by the end of the day on Wednesday, July 4 by:

- Email to ReginaA@awcnet.org;
- Fax to (360) 753-0149; or
- U.S. mail to AWC Candidate Survey, 1076 Franklin Street SE, Olympia, WA 98501-1346.

## Thank you for your participation!